

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,434	MOTOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Price	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(1) Surinder Sachar.

(3) _____

(2) Nathan Price.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 of the system claims.

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 8, 9, 13 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

William Thomson
 WILLIAM THOMSON
 ASSISTANT PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative approved of an Examiner's amendment to resolve the problem with the system claims under 35 U.S.C. 101. Applicant's representative approved of amending the claims to recite "An image forming device" instead of "A system" (line 1 of the system claims) and "said image forming device" instead of "an image forming device" (line 2 of independent system claims)..